

Letters to the Editor ...

Not So Sweet on Aspartame

Dear Duncan: Thanks for the informative dissertation on aspartame [NEXUS 2/28, 3/01].

I found out years ago the effects of using aspartame. They ranged from headaches to depression.

Since I was an unsuspecting consumer of this product, I used it every day in coffee and diet drinks. I suffered for years from symptoms that seemed to have no answer, until I was informed that NutraSweet could be the cause.

The symptoms subsided almost immediately after I substituted other sweeteners and stopped drinking diet sodas.

A protest to Searle was useless as the company repeated its claim about its product's safety and approval from the FDA.

I agree with you: aspartame is one of the most dangerous food-additives on the market. It's especially so, considering that the FDA has 'rubber-stamped' its approval.

Truly, Joseph Caughman, USA.

Natural Hormone Therapy

Dear Ed: Just a note to say thanks for the information disseminated by NEXUS on the alternative hormone therapy.

My sister-in-law was being told by the regular medicos that long-term synthetics and probably hysterectomy at 33 were the only course for her after years of problems. My wife sent for the book [*Hormone Heresy*], and my sister-in-law tried out the therapy. She's now pregnant with a much-longed-for second child.

Cheers to everybody in conspiracy land.

Adrian Bailey, 113253.517@compuserve.com

Reverse Speech, Oswald & JFK

Dear NEXUS: This is in regard to David John Oates' piece, "Reverse Speech: Voice of the Inner Self" (4/06, Oct-Nov '97).

While no one knows reverse speech as does Mr Oates—leastways outside of the world of intelligence, at any rate—he doesn't know diddly-squat about the JFK assassination. He states that in reversing comments made by Lee Harvey Oswald on a radio broadcast two weeks before the assassination, there is this: "Hear them. Wish to kill the President." Then Mr Oates concludes, saying:

"Oswald was talking forwards about the Fair Play For Cuba Committee."

Even students of JFK 101 know that that radio debate was a set-up to create for Oswald a commie-symp legend.

To whom Oswald would have been referring were the CIA operative/spear-carriers in front of the other microphones serving as foils. That's the "them".

This is cleared up easily—lest there be any lingering doubt—in my suggesting to Mr Oates that he go back through his tape files and round up the one I previously sent him. After all, as he says about reverse speech, truth is what it's all about.

Sincerely, Michael Levy, Washington, DC, USA.

Colloidal Silver and the Moon

Dear Duncan: I couldn't help but notice the number of ads relating to colloidal silver in your mag. I thought your readers might be interested in the experiments of Georges Lakhovsky, an engineer, described in 1932.

He found it was possible to sterilise water and other liquids through direct contact with metal conductors. In the case of silver, he found that the effect was different according to the phases of the Moon. During the full moon it could take between 26 to 40 hours to sterilise the water; but four days before the full moon, silver produced the opposite effect: bacterial growth was enhanced. During the waning moon the water was sterilised in from six to seven hours. These experiments have been carried out in two different laboratories, in the Salpêtrière and the Pasteur institutes.

It also interesting that the ancients knew of the connection between silver and the moon. (See Kolisko, *Silver and the Moon*.)

Regards, Bruce Brunson, bhive888@pac.com.au

Evolution or Intervention?

Dear Duncan: I am writing concerning the article in NEXUS 4/05, "The Origin of Our Species: Evolution or Intervention?"

There seems little doubt that aliens came to Earth. Whether they created humanity is still questionable. But what hits hard is

what if we have all lived a lie (or, better say, a deception)? What effect would it have on society? Belief in religion has been very important, and the presence of an omnipresent "God" a contributing factor to success of law. See how many people ask for sins to be cleansed by priests before death? If religion crumbles, the restriction of "sin and you will go to hell" no longer holds. Does it mean more crime in society without religion?

Also, I have read that apart from little aliens with pale skin, the abductees have seen tall, perfect, blond-haired, blue-eyed men. Could they be our descendants talked about in the article—the genetically perfect beings that came to Earth so many years ago? Could the little men be slaves just like we were supposed to be created for, as in the article?

Thanks, Julius Fajardo, Sydney, NSW, Australia, jcfajardo@ultimate.com.au

Microwave-Resistant Bacteria

Dear Sir: We, the public, are led to believe that microwaves are a godsend. They heat our food quickly, allow communications to reach heights undreamed of 30 years ago, are supposed to be safe, and supposed to kill any and all bacteria—pathogenic or not.

Recently I was privy to a little piece of information that (me being the typical consumer) was shocking, to say the least! I was wondering if you could look into the situation and find the real truth, if there is any. The information was this: not all pathogenic bacteria are killed by microwaves. There are a few species that have a mechanism which allows them to survive.

Being a single man and a student, I rely heavily on my microwave oven. It saves me a tremendous amount of time. I also thought I didn't have to worry about bacteria in my food, that the microwaves would kill them. Am I wrong?

R. Downing, Kansas, USA, talyb@terraworld.net

Navy/SAS Antarctic Sortie?

Dear Editor: A family member of mine has recently left for the Antarctic with two ships of the Royal Australian Navy. Their job was to outfit these ships before

they went on this trip as well as going along for the ride.

It's not all that unusual for a ship to sail to the Antarctic, though infrequent. The funny part is that one of the ships is a refuelling vessel, and nobody knows for sure how long they will be gone; "about a month" is all they know.

They have loaded quite an arsenal for this little sortie—enough weapons to fill everybody's hand with something nasty (that is unusual). I'm ex-navy weapons myself. I know what they normally carry.

Is it coincidental that a number of SAS have had quite a deal of training on at least one of the vessels prior to departure? Are they on there now?

This may very well be nothing, although I am sure it is not something quite as simple as "exercising our fishing rights and showing our presence in the area". North of Australia, okay, I don't have a problem. But Antarctica?

If anything comes to light on this I would be grateful to hear of it.

Rick, Australia.

The Global HIV Fraud

Dear NEXUS: Do NEXUS readers realise that "HIV" is a laboratory construct and does not exist? NEXUS Magazine's "Statement of Purpose" opens the door to this censored information: "NEXUS recognises that humanity is undergoing a massive transformation... NEXUS seeks to provide 'hard-to-get' information so as to assist people through these changes."

Not a single scientist has proven the existence of "HIV" as a unique molecular entity. The hypothetical "HIV" has never been isolated as a unique, exogenous retrovirus. The "HIV" test has never been scientifically validated—there is no universal gold standard "HIV" test to prove that one is "HIV-positive". No particle of "HIV" has ever been obtained pure, free of contaminants; nor has a complete piece of "HIV RNA" (or the transcribed DNA) ever been proven to exist. There is no such data proving the origin of either "HIV" proteins or "HIV" antibodies. The rules demonstrating the existence of "HIV" (and retroviruses in general) were never adhered to by those that devised them, nor were they ever validated.

... more Letters to the Editor

NB: Please keep letters to approx. 100-150 words in length. Ed.

NEXUS readers should be made aware of the global "HIV" fraud.

Yours sincerely,
Alex Russell, Researcher,
Continuum magazine, London,
UK, e-mail: contin@dircon.co.uk

Hulda Clark Accolades

Dear Duncan: I'd like to take this opportunity to give you a long overdue thank-you for the fact that I now have a very healthy husband. After almost two years of being really sick, and with nobody able to help him (we tried it all, traditional and alternative), I read your review of Hulda Clark's book, *A Cure for All Cancers*, in a NEXUS magazine [3/01] hanging around at work.

We followed all the procedures she recommended and I have to say I think all of us who live in the so-called "civilised" world can benefit from them. She has the Rolls-Royce of liver cleanses.

You have my undying gratitude. Keep up the good work.

Yours faithfully, Judit E.,
Bullaburra, NSW, Australia.

Circumcision Madness

Dear Sir: I would like your readers to know about the medical deception behind the practice of male infant circumcision. Doctors have for decades 'conned' parents into believing male genital mutilation, euphemistically called "circumcision", is a simple surgical procedure with no risks or disadvantages and offers potential health benefits.

The alleged health benefits have been disproven; still we see doctors using these disproven myths to justify the procedure. By contrast, evidence of long-term physical/psychological harm from circumcision is well-documented and continues to grow worldwide.

All pro-circumcision literature emanates from the USA where the foreskin industry has flourished since the 1930s. Today, infant circumcision continues for the monetary gain of doctors, appliance manufacturers, skin banks, researchers, pharmaceutical companies and sexual health clinics. Male infants have, in fact, become forced organ donors!

According to statistics compiled by Demographics International, only 15 per cent of the world's 2.68 billion males are circumcised.

Parents should remain forever vigilant in protecting their children's rights, health and well-being and not fall victim to the 'scare tactics' employed by those who perpetuate this archaic and harmful surgery on consenting minors.

Yours sincerely, John S.,
Belmont, NSW, Australia.

Princess Diana Conspiracy?

I am the web master of the conspiracy theory discussion board which is dedicated solely to the pursuit of the truth of what really happened in the Pont de l'Alma tunnel on 31 August 1997.

This web site was the first to dedicate itself to this topic (launched within three hours of the announcement of Princess Diana's death) and is also the most heavily trafficked. We have had to move our web site several times because of Internet sabotage; however, the combined counters show that we have had close to 450,000 hits in just eight weeks.

I am not a fringe radical. I have never previously involved myself with matters of conspiracy. I am, in fact, a well-educated professional and a writer, and would say that I am considered a person of reason by my friends and associates.

The same is true of the regular posters to this discussion board. Included among them are doctors, lawyers, architects, engineers, nurses, university professors, business executives and journalists. And, almost to a person, they post or tell me in e-mail that they have not previously involved themselves with conspiracy theories of any sort. Those who post here are not "fringe lunatics" as the Press attempts to portray about conspiracy theorists in general. You will not find people here who hold to the theory that Elvis lives and that man's lunar journeys took place in a Hollywood sound stage.

What you have here is a microcosm of global society, students and housewives among them, who believe that we are not being told the truth about what happened in the tunnel that night.

On the night that Diana died, there was speculation here that she and Dodi al-Fayed had gotten engaged that night. Yet the scoffers scoffed and the scorners scorned. Two or three weeks later

it was disclosed that Dodi had given Diana an engagement ring that very night.

For weeks we questioned the involvement of another car, and the scoffers scoffed and the scorners scorned. Finally, the same French investigators who had assured the public that no other vehicle had been involved in the crash, asserted their belief that in fact a Fiat Uno had been involved.

Item after item that has been questioned and analysed here, has eventually come into play in the official investigation.

The Press and the governments of Great Britain and France have underestimated who bears the sentiments found on our discussion board. From the e-mail I receive, and discussions with ordinary people everywhere I go, I find almost no one who is satisfied with what the public has been told about the cause behind the crash and how the passengers of the Mercedes died. Even those who believe it was an accident (who seem to be far and few between) do not believe the incredulous accounts of Henri Paul's drunkenness which decry the Ritz video footage and even Trevor Rees-Jones' accounts of his demeanour just before the accident.

And they don't buy the accounts of a doctor who treated her at the scene—and who amazingly happened to have an oxygen canister in the trunk of his car—but who did so without ever looking at her face to know who she was.

Diana, from all accounts and photographs, was completely accessible through the rear doors of an amazingly unscathed rear cabin (pre-"jaws of life"), yet this experienced trauma physician didn't feel it important to check her pupils and to ensure that her mouth and throat were clear of blood and vomit before administering oxygen and leaving the scene. And with a crowd of paparazzi around, and Romuald Rat at the car even before the doctor, he (the doctor) never knew whom he was treating? Perhaps it is all true, but people of reason demand then to know the truth behind such seemingly irresponsible treatment.

And there are other things... We have seen *Dateline's* exclusive photos of the car before the "jaws

of life" cut into it. The back cabin, the entire rear compartment, looked as intact as a car that had just been driven off a dealer's lot. The trunk, roof and rear doors looked unscathed (not like a car that had rolled, as has been reported). Moreover, they were clearly unscathed enough for either rear door to be opened normally, which paparazzo Romuald Rat did. Why then did it take more than two hours to remove Diana from the car? Clearly, she did not have to be cut out, as may have been the case with Trevor Rees-Jones.

And why did it take more than 40 minutes to drive to a hospital six miles away with the ambulance incredibly losing its police escort during that drive? Yes, we have heard the unsatisfactory explanation of the ambulance pulling over to administer adrenaline to Diana's heart. It is just that the doctors who participate in this web site tell us that it was these delays that cost Diana any chance she had of surviving.

There are hundreds of inconsistencies that have been discussed, debated and analysed here. Many may be readily explainable. But there are too many of them, way too many when coupled with contradictions—from "there was no other car involved" to "there was a black/blue/red/white/yellow Fiat Uno involved".

Stop and ask yourself, if these types of questions and inconsistencies loomed over an investigation into the death of your own child or spouse, would you be satisfied? I think not.

Once upon time, in the modern age in which we live, a charismatic leader convinced an adoring public of his noble purposes. He was above question and the rumour-mongers were simply "fringe lunatics"—that is until his infamous *Krystallnacht*, the night that Hitler's goons awoke a sleeping Germany with the "breaking of glass".

Demosthenes said, "There is one safeguard known generally to the wise, which is an advantage and security to all, but especially to democracies as against despots. What is it? Distrust."

Web site: <http://www.internet-inquirer.com>; E-mail: conspiracy@mailexcite.com